

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

MCKNIGHT REALTY CO.,  
on behalf of itself and all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRAVO ARKOMA, LLC, and  
BRAVO NATURAL RESOURCES,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-CV-00308-KEW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL  
OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

This matter came on for a class settlement fairness hearing this date, pursuant to due prior notice, to determine the fairness and appropriateness of the proposed settlement of the above styled litigation entered into between the Class Representative and Settlement Class (as those terms, as well as the other terms used herein, are defined in the Settlement Agreement that the parties have filed in this lawsuit) and Defendants. All named parties were present and represented by counsel. Also appearing were the following Class Members (if applicable):

---

---

---

The Court, having conducted an evidentiary hearing and, after reviewing the Settlement Agreement and all related pleadings and filings and being fully advised in the premises, finds, orders, and adjudges as follows:

1. The Court previously certified in this lawsuit, for settlement purposes only, a Settlement Class described as follows:

The Class definition for which Plaintiff seeks certification is:

All persons who are royalty owners in Oklahoma wells where Bravo Arkoma LLC or Bravo Natural Resources, LLC (including their affiliated predecessors and affiliated successors) are or were the operator (or a working interest owner, which marketed its share of gas and directly paid royalties to the royalty owners).

Excluded from the Class are: (1) agencies, departments or instrumentalities of the United States of America, including but not limited to the U.S. Department of the Interior (the United States, Indian tribes, and Indian allottees); (2) the State of Oklahoma or any of its agencies or departments that own royalty interests; (3) Defendants, their affiliates, predecessors, and employees, officers, and directors; (4) any company or its affiliated entities that produces, gathers, processes, or markets gas; and, (5) royalty owners only to the extent receiving “Blanchard” payments.

2. The Class Representative and Defendants have executed a Settlement Agreement dated as of July 12, 2018 (the “Settlement Agreement”), which Settlement Agreement was duly filed with the Court for preliminary approval.

3. This Court gave preliminary approval to the proposed class settlement after its terms were presented to the Court by counsel and after the filing of the Settlement Agreement with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

4. Notice of the Settlement was properly mailed to the putative members of the Settlement Class with known valid mailing addresses and was published, with both the

mailing of notice and the publication of notice having been performed in compliance with the requirements specified in this Court's prior orders and in the Settlement Agreement. The Court previously approved both the Plan of Notice and the Notice of Settlement and now finds, orders, and adjudges that the notice to the Settlement Class of the Settlement Fairness Hearing was proper and sufficient under all applicable laws and represents the most practical means of giving notice under the circumstances. Further, each putative member of the Settlement Class was afforded a reasonable opportunity to opt out or object.

5. Because any appeal by an objecting Class Member to the entire Settlement, Class Counsel Fees and/or Expenses, or Class Representative's incentive award would delay the payment under the Settlement to all other Class Members, each objecting Class Member must elect within thirty (30) days of this Order to (a) not appeal; (b) appeal only the objecting Class Member's portion of the Settlement, Fees, Expenses, or incentive award, which would be severed from the rest of the case and would not delay the final judgment for all other Class Members; or, (c) if the objecting Class Member purports to appeal on behalf of the entire Settlement Class (for which he has not been appointed to represent and would likely be in conflict with) any part of the Settlement, Fees, Expenses, or incentive award, or does not definitively choose either option (a) or (b) above, each objecting Class Member who appeals nonetheless agrees to post a cash appeal bond in an amount to be set in the Court's sole discretion, but not to exceed an amount sufficient to reimburse Class Counsel's appellate fees, Class Counsel's expenses, and the lost interest for one year to the Class caused by the likely delay.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a list of those putative members of the Settlement Class who have timely and validly opted-out of the class settlement. The persons listed on Exhibit A are not bound by any of the following provisions of this final judgment and order, and they are not entitled to receive any Distribution Checks from the Net Settlement Amount.

7. At the Settlement Fairness Hearing, and in preparation for such hearing, the Court considered, among the other matters addressed in this order and final judgment: (a) the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the Settlement Agreement and the class settlement contemplated therein, and (b) the fairness and reasonableness of the application for Class Counsel Fees and Expenses.

8. The Court finds that the class settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is proper and is fair, reasonable, and adequate within the meaning of Rule 23 and was entered into between the Class Representative and Defendants in good faith and without collusion. The Plan of Allocation and Distribution is also specifically found to be fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. The Settlement Agreement and the class settlement provided for thereunder, including the Plan of Allocation and Distribution, are approved by this Court.

9. The Order on Class Certification for Settlement Purposes, previously entered by the Court to certify this action as a class action for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Rule 23(a) and (b)(3), is incorporated herein. This matter is, and has been, certified as a class action, for settlement purposes only.

10. This action is hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE to the re-filing of same or any portion thereof. The Court retains jurisdiction to administer the settlement distribution process as contemplated in the Settlement Agreement. The Court also retains jurisdiction to enforce this Order Approving Class Settlement and Final Judgment. Notwithstanding the jurisdiction that this Court retains as to such matters, this is a final judgment fully disposing of all claims as to all parties and, therefore, is an appealable order and final judgment.

11. Each member of the Settlement Class is ordered and adjudged to have conclusively released the Released Claims against the Released Parties for the Released Period as to each of the Class Wells.

12. Each Class Member is hereby barred and permanently enjoined from prosecuting, commencing, or continuing any claim or action on any of the Released Claims, and as to any of the Released Parties, by way of claim, counterclaim, offset, or otherwise.

13. Distributions of the Net Settlement Amount to Class Members shall be based on the assumptions that (a) very few sales of royalty interests have occurred during the claim and Released Period covered by the class settlement, (b) where sales did occur, the parties intended that the buyer receive payment for past claims, and (c) where royalty interests passed through inheritance, devise or interfamily transfers, it was the intent that the heir, or devisee or transferee also receive payment for past claims. To the extent that these assumptions are not correct in relation to any particular transfers of interests, the Court orders that Class Members who receive payment in those particular instances shall

in turn make payment to the proper party entitled to such payment, as described in the Settlement Agreement.

14. Any member of the Settlement Class who receives a payment pursuant to the class settlement and fails to make payment to the proper party pursuant to paragraph 13, above, shall indemnify Defendants and the other Released Parties against any claim made against Defendants and/or any of the other Released Parties by any other person or entity asserting entitlement to the payment.

15. Class Members who do not receive distributions from the Net Settlement Amount as a result of the assumptions described in paragraph 13 above shall be deemed to have released the Released Claims against all Released Parties, regardless of whether the Class Member who did not receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Amount is entitled to some or all of the distribution made to another Class Member, and regardless of whether the Class Member to whom the distribution was made does or does not comply with the Court's order to make payment to the proper party.

16. Distribution of the proceeds from the class settlement shall be made to Class Members in accordance with the Plan of Allocation and Distribution previously approved by the Court in this action. The Class Representative, Settlement Class Counsel, Defendants, and the Released Parties shall have no liability to the Settlement Class or to any Class Member for mis-payments, over-payments, under-payments, errors, or omissions in the allocation or distribution methodology or process, or for the results of such methodology or process, so long as no such party violates the Plan of Allocation and Distribution approved by the Court and/or violates other orders entered by the Court with

respect to the distribution of the Net Settlement Amount. If any Class Member may establish a right to a greater share of the Net Settlement Amount allocated to a Class Well, that Class Member's sole remedy shall be a claim against any other Class Members in the Class Well who were paid more than their proportionate share of the Net Settlement Amount allocated to the Class Well.

17. By agreeing to settle the claims of the Settlement Class as to the Released Parties in the Class Lawsuit, Defendants do not admit, and indeed specifically dispute and deny, both the claims and assertions of the Class Representative in the Class Lawsuit and any and all liability to the Settlement Class, the Class Representative and Class Counsel.

18. All documents, electronic data and other materials produced by Defendants in the Class Lawsuit that were designated by Defendants as confidential, shall be returned to Defendants promptly upon the expiration of 30 days after the McKnight Settlement Account is closed.

19. The class settlement approved by this order and final judgment is a compromise and settlement of disputed issues over whether this case could ever be validly certified as a class action suit for purposes of a trial on the merits (as opposed to for purposes of settlement), as well as disputed issues over the claims and defenses asserted in this suit. Neither the Court's certification of the Settlement Class, nor the Settlement Agreement (and the settlement provided for therein), nor the carrying out of the class settlement may ever be used by any person or entity for any purpose in any other litigation against Defendants or any of the other Released Parties for any other purpose, other than

to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement and this Order Approving Class Action Settlement and Final Judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2018.

---

KIMBERLY E. WEST  
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Attachments:

Exhibit A: List of persons who have opted out of the class settlement

EXHIBIT A

LIST OF OPT-OUTS

Cora Robertson

APPROVED:

**THE LANIER LAW FIRM**

By: /s/ Reagan E. Bradford  
Reagan E. Bradford  
OBA No. 22072

W. Mark Lanier  
(*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Texas State Bar No. 11934600

*Houston Office:*  
6810 FM 1960 West  
Houston, Texas 77069  
Telephone: (713) 659-5200  
Facsimile: (713) 659-2204

*Oklahoma Office:*  
100 E. California Ave., Suite 200  
Oklahoma City, OK 73104  
WML@lanierlawfirm.com

Rex A. Sharp  
OBA No. 011990  
Rex. A. Sharp, P.A.  
-  
5301 W. 75<sup>th</sup> Street  
Prairie Village, KS 66208  
(913) 901-0505  
(913) 901-0419 fax  
rsharp@midwest-law.com

Tod S. Mercer  
OBA NO. 14157  
Mercer Law Firm, P.C.

500 East Choctaw Ave.  
McAlester, Oklahoma 74501  
(918) 420-5850  
(918) 420-5855 (fax)  
tod@todmercerlaw.com

**SETTLEMENT CLASS COUNSEL**

**THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP**

By: :/s/ Greg W. Curry  
Greg W. Curry  
Texas Bar No. 05270300  
[Greg.Curry@tklaw.com](mailto:Greg.Curry@tklaw.com)

Craig A. Haynes  
Texas Bar No. 09284020  
[craig.haynes@tklaw.com](mailto:craig.haynes@tklaw.com)

Rachelle H. Glazer  
Texas Bar No. 09785900  
[Rachelle.Glazer@tklaw.com](mailto:Rachelle.Glazer@tklaw.com)

One Arts Plaza  
1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
Telephone: 214-969-1700  
Fax Number: 214-969-1751

Charles D. Neal, Jr., OBA #6591  
[cdn@steidley-neal.com](mailto:cdn@steidley-neal.com)  
Stacie L. Hixon, OBA #19477  
[slh@steidley-neal.com](mailto:slh@steidley-neal.com)

STEIDLEY & NEAL, P.L.L.C.  
CityPlex Towers, 53<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
2448 East 81<sup>st</sup> Street  
Tulsa, OK 74137  
Telephone: 918.664.4612  
Facsimile: 918.664.4133

**COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS**